## Intraosseous Venous Malformation of the Zygoma: P4-7 **Cases Report and Literature Review**

Zelin Ye, Meng You, Guangning Zheng

West China School of Stomatology, Sichuan University, China



- **D** To summarize the clinical and radiological features and review the treatment modalities of zygomatic intraosseous venous malformations (IVMs) by reporting 4 cases and analyze the features of them together with other previous case reports.
- **D** To help clinicians and radiologists to get a correct preoperative diagnosis and proceed with the correct treatment of zygomatic IVMs.

#### **Cases Report**

We report 4 cases with pathologically diagnosed zygomatic IVMs, their information

# **Radiological Analysis**

- **Computed tomography (CT) was the most common imaging examination.**
- **1** 40 cases (included our 4 cases) which provided CT images were analyzed.
- **D** Zygomatic IVMs usually have a well-defined border and a round shape appearance, protruding to the contour of zygoma.
- Different internal patterns were identified and categorized into four types: honeycomb, radiated, spongious and dendritic. (Figure 4-5)
  - Honeycomb: multiple hyperintense foci (dots) inside.
  - Radiated: trabecula radiating from a center like sunburst or spoke wheel.
  - Dendritic: trabecula distributed without a center.



is shown in **table 1**. The commonalities of them were:

- **G** Similar chief complaint: a solid mass fixed to the midface which made cosmetic deformity, without any symptom, or just with slight pain.
- **D** Physical and auxiliary examination: asymmetric; a firm and solid mass protruded from the zygomatic bone. The typical CT imaging is shown in figure 1.

No.	Age	Sex	Pain	symptoms/ appearance	main imaging	round- shaped	internal pattern	well- defined	intact cortex	Manage- ment	Bleeding	Follow-up dysfunction or recurrence
1	35	F	Y	firm, fixed; enlarged after 2 years with pain	CBCT	Y	Dendritic	Y	Ν	En-bloc excision	Ν	2 yr, N
2	57	Μ	Ν	firm, fixed	СТ	Y	Spongious	Y	Ν	En-bloc excision	Ν	1 yr, N
3	33	F	Y	firm, fixed; pain during menstruation but painless of palpation.	СТ	Y	Radiated	Y	Ν	En-bloc excision	Ν	1 yr, N
4	54	Μ	Ν	firm, fixed	СТ	Y	Honeycomb	Y	Ν	En-bloc excision	Ν	Ν

**Table 1.** Summary of demographic, clinical, radiographic, and histopathologic findings of present 4 cases. F = female; M = male; CBCT = cone-beam computed tomography; CT = computed tomography; N = Np; yr = year(s).





Spongious: A grey background filled with small cavities like sponge.



Figure 5. Different internal patterns of zygomatic IVM in CT or CBCT scan: (A) spongious pattern (case 2); (B) honeycomb pattern (case 4). (C) dendritic pattern (case 1)

# **Treatment and Histopathology**

- Different methods of treatment was reported with different events and prognosis. (Figure 6) En bloc Excision is likely to be the best option, with little risk of hemorrhage and recurrence.
- Incisional biopsy: Cautious! High risk of bleeding.
- **D Reconstruction:** Different materials were reported and applicable. (Figure 7) CAD/CAM was used in some cases.
- □ Histopathology: (figure 8)
  - **D** proliferative, dilated and vascular lined by flattened endothelial cells;

Figure 1. An axial view of the CT scan of case 3 showed a typical appearance of zygomatic IVM, which had an well-defined appearance, with significantly hypointense and mixed density inside with radiated pattern, while it had no soft tissue involvement.

# Literature review

- Other 58 cases of zygomatic IVMs were reviewed and identified, according to the latest ISSVA classification of vascular anomalies.<sup>1</sup> (ISSVA = the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies)
- Demographic (figure 2): The ratio of female and male is 2.1 : 1 (42 : 20), females or people in their fourth to sixth decades have a higher proportion.
- **Etiology**: unknown. Local stimulation like injury, infection, hormonal fluctuations seems to be the main reason.<sup>2</sup>
- Main clinical symptoms (Figure 3): cosmetic deformity (60/62), pain (20/62) and ocular Involvement (9/62).



#### □ trabeculae absorption.



Figure 6. Different interventions, bleeding incidence, and prognosis of zygomatic IVM.



#### References

- [1]Monroe EJ. Brief Description of ISSVA Classification for Radiologists. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol. 2019;22(4):100628. doi:10.1016/j.tvir.2019.100628
- [2]Fábián Z, Szabó G, Petrovan C, et al. Intraosseous venous malformation of the zygomatico-orbital complex. Case report and literature review with focus on confusions in vascular lesion terms. Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2018;22(2):241-247. doi:10.1007/s10006-018-0691-0

Figure 7. The options of reconstruction reported in previous cases.

#### Conclusions

Figure 8. The histological appearance of case 3 (under 100x magnification).

**Zygomatic IVMs were rare but was the most common vascular malformation** of zygoma.

There clinical and radiological features was established in this study to help to obtain a correct diagnosis.